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Reasons for concern

Science and engineering fairs create unique opportunities for participants to learn and apply scientific methods. In biological and ecological sciences, acquiring knowledge about the organisms under study represents a critical part of the scientific process.

In recent years, scientists have recognized that their work can cause biological invasions. Biological invasions involve economic, social and ecological harm arising from the introduction or spread of organisms beyond their native or existing ranges, that is the introduction or spread of nonindigenous organisms (see Appendix E for more information). When planning and conducting their work, scientists now consider how to avoid or manage these risks. Participants in science and engineering fairs have the opportunity and responsibility to apply similar considerations.

Nonindigenous organisms can be involved in science and engineering fair projects deliberately or accidentally. For example, projects can investigate the biology, ecology and management of nonindigenous organisms or study them to provide insights into basic biological questions. In addition, nonindigenous organisms can be transported accidentally during field studies or collecting. These interactions generate risks of introducing or spreading nonindigenous organisms and causing a biological invasion. Concerns about the introduction and spread of nonindigenous species and subsequent biological invasions have led to laws, policies, management, education and other efforts to reduce the risk of such events at the local, state, regional, national and international levels. Participants in science and engineering fairs should recognize and address these risks explicitly.

Managing nonindigenous organisms

Eliminating the use and movement of nonindigenous species is unlikely because we have woven such species into our lives. However, managing the movement and use of nonindigenous organisms represents a valuable approach to reducing the risk of biological invasion because predicting which nonindigenous organisms will become invasive is difficult in many situations. Lists of species shown to be invasive can guide some choices (Appendix A). However, these hard-won lessons have not and may never yield a foolproof means of predicting or detecting introductions that will cause problems (see Appendix E for more information).

Scientific studies, in particular those using nonindigenous organisms, create risks of causing an invasion. Risks should be managed to help ensure that costs do not outweigh benefits. For example, participants should take specific measures to ensure nonindigenous plants, animals, viable reproductive products, parasites and pathogens are not introduced or transferred purposefully or accidentally. A risk evaluation flow chart can help identify possibilities for spreading nonindigenous organisms through science and engineering fair projects and point out critical management efforts (Appendix B).

Review at the planning stage represents the best approach for all science and engineering fair projects involving plants, animals or microorganisms. For all species involved in the study, participants should attempt to determine their status as native, cryptogenic or nonindigenous. Such information will guide the design of handling and disposal procedures. In addition, the potential for accidental transfer of nonindigenous organisms should be assessed. Web sites and state and federal agencies can assist (Appendix A and Appendix C).
If review indicates that nonindigenous species are or could be involved, the project’s methodology should include steps to handle and dispose of them without releasing them into new environments. Controlling nonindigenous organisms may involve physical, biological, chemical, and environmental barriers to confine or contain all stages of the organism’s life history. Sampling during the project may need to include methods for cleaning or sterilizing boats and other gear between sampling efforts. Detailed plans should be tailored to the individual project, allow for uncertainties and be based on available guidelines (Appendix D).

In an effort to ensure thorough planning, participants should document the species involved in a project and plans to manage them. A participant’s thorough knowledge of all species involved in their science and engineering fair project increases the quality of the project and provides resources to help avoid accidental introduction or spread of nonindigenous organisms. Suitable documentation for each species in the project should include:

- life history, biology, parasites and pathogens;
- critical environmental tolerances;
- typical ecological interactions;
- performance if previously introduced into a non-native environment; and
- native range and present geographic distribution and status as nonindigenous species.

Any plan to avoid introducing or spreading nonindigenous organisms is only as good as its implementation and adaptation to unforeseen circumstances. Success depends on rigorous and conscientious monitoring and evaluation by participants and supervisors. Participants must follow approved measures and address any unexpected problems quickly and effectively. Efforts must extend to the proper disposal of all materials, which can be as important as experimental or sampling procedures in efforts to contain nonindigenous organisms.

In properly described projects, science and engineering fair officials, supervisors and participants can gain confidence that measures to avoid the spread of nonindigenous organisms have been incorporated by looking to indicators. Although indicators will vary from project to project, they include:

- evidence that all required permits have been obtained and that the project complies with related laws and regulations, including guidelines for use of animals;
- suitable qualifications of adult sponsors, qualified scientists, and associated supervisors;
- description of roles and responsibilities for participants and supervisors as related to handling, using, and disposing of nonindigenous organisms;
- security measures to prevent non-participants from handling nonindigenous organisms;
- precautions during shipping and transport;
- evidence of suitable facilities and procedures to keep nonindigenous organisms isolated;
- documentation of physical, chemical, biological or environmental barriers to prevent escape or release of nonindigenous organisms;
- procedures for completing tasks and the project (including cleaning facilities and equipment and safely disposing of organisms, water, sediment, or other media); and
- an emergency plan, including procedures to terminate the project if necessary.
Science in the real world

Nonindigenous organisms and biological invasions are of great interest and concern to scientists, governments, and businesses throughout the United States and the world. Professional scientists take extra care to avoid introducing or spreading nonindigenous organisms because these actions increase the likelihood of biological invasions that can have significant detrimental impacts.

Science and engineering fair projects can contribute significantly to our understanding of biology and ecology, including management of nonindigenous and invasive species. By incorporating concern for introducing or spreading nonindigenous species, participants gain an opportunity to practice science in the real world.
**Appendix A.** Web sites to help determine the status of species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Web site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All states</td>
<td><a href="http://www.invasivespeciesinfo.gov/unitedstates/main.shtml">http://www.invasivespeciesinfo.gov/unitedstates/main.shtml</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal &amp; state resources by state</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nbia.gov/geographic/us/state.html">http://www.nbia.gov/geographic/us/state.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All states, aquatic species</td>
<td><a href="http://nas.er.usgs.gov">http://nas.er.usgs.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All states, plants &amp; insects</td>
<td><a href="http://www.invasivespecies.org">http://www.invasivespecies.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine species</td>
<td><a href="http://invasions.si.edu/NIS.htm">http://invasions.si.edu/NIS.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All states, aquatic invasive plants</td>
<td><a href="http://aquat1.ifas.ufl.edu/aboutplants.html">http://aquat1.ifas.ufl.edu/aboutplants.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, aquatic species</td>
<td><a href="http://www.elkhornslough.org/invader.htm">http://www.elkhornslough.org/invader.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest, invasive plants</td>
<td><a href="http://invader.dbs.umt.edu/default.htm">http://invader.dbs.umt.edu/default.htm</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B. Risk evaluation flow chart for nonindigenous species.

Assessing the presence of a nonindigenous organism or pathway
Q1. Will the project use nonindigenous organisms?
Q2. Could the organisms used carry nonindigenous diseases or parasites?
Q3. Could the water, other media, or equipment used in sampling or transport carry any viable, nonindigenous biological material?

Transfer organisms to clean media and container, treat all waste to kill all organisms and disinfect all equipment

Q4. Will treatment kill all nonindigenous organisms?

Further assessing pathways
Q5. Does the project involve viable or freshly killed organisms?
Q6. Will such organisms be moved from where they are collected during the project?
Q7. Will such organisms be moved through areas where they do not occur during the project?
Q8. Will such organisms be held in an area where they are not currently found during the project?

Assessing potential for establishment
Q9. Can the organisms survive in the surrounding environment?

Assessing potential to cause harm
Q10. Is there evidence that the organisms can cause harm if they escape or are released into the environment?

Assessing management
Q11. Do you have previous approval to work with the organisms in a similar way and at a similar location?
Q12. Is there an approved protocol for working with the organisms?
Q13. Will you essentially follow the approved protocol?

Transfer organisms to clean media and container, treat all waste to kill all organisms and disinfect all equipment

Minimal risk
Document preventative measures to guard against unforeseen problems

No or Not sure
to any of Q5- Q8

Minimal risk
Document preventative measures to guard against unforeseen problems

No to Q1, Q2 and Q3

Use indigenous organisms
Document preventative measures to guard against unforeseen problems

Yes to Q3

No or Not sure
to Q1 or Q2

A risk exists
Further assess pathways

A pathway is involved
Some risk exists
Assess potential for establishment

A pathway is involved
Some risk exists
Assess potential to cause harm

Harm is possible
Some risk exists
Assess management

Minimal risk
Document preventative measures to guard against unforeseen problems

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

Submit the previous approval with any changes explained in detail

Submit the approved protocol with any changes explained in detail

Prepare a detailed plan to ensure containment of nonindigenous organisms

Yes or Not sure

to Q1 or Q2

Yes or Not sure
to Q1 or Q2

Yes to Q3

No or Not sure
to Q1 or Q2

Yes

Yes

No
### Appendix C. Agencies dealing with nonindigenous species
(continued on next page).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Alabama Department of Conservation &amp; Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>Alaska Department of Fish &amp; Game</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Arizona Game &amp; Fish Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Arkansas Game &amp; Fish Commission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| CA    | California Department of Fish & Game  
California Department of Food & Agriculture |
| CO    | Colorado Division of Wildlife |
| CT    | Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection |
| DE    | Delaware Department of Natural Resources & Environmental Control |
| FL    | Florida Department of Environmental Protection  
Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission |
| GA    | Georgia Department of Natural Resources |
| HI    | Hawaii Department of Agriculture |
| ID    | Idaho Department of Fish & Game  
Idaho State Department of Agriculture |
| IL    | Illinois Department of Natural Resources |
| IN    | Indiana Department of Natural Resources |
| IA    | Iowa Department of Natural Resources |
| KS    | Kansas Department of Wildlife & Parks |
| KY    | Kentucky Department of Fish & Wildlife Resources |
| LA    | Louisiana Department of Wildlife & Fisheries |
| ME    | Maine Department of Environmental Protection  
Maine Department of Marine Resources |
| MD    | Maryland Department of Agriculture  
Maryland Department of Natural Resources |
| MA    | Massachusetts Department of Conservation & Recreation  
Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management |
| MI    | Michigan Department of Environmental Quality |
| MN    | Minnesota Department of Natural Resources |
| MS    | Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality  
Mississippi Department of Marine Resources  
Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries & Parks |
| MO    | Missouri Department of Conservation |
| MT    | Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks |
| NE    | Nebraska Game & Parks Commission |
| NV    | Nevada Department of Wildlife |
| NH    | New Hampshire Department of Fish & Game  
New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>New Jersey Division of Fish &amp; Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>New Mexico Department of Game &amp; Fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>New York Department of Environmental Conservation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| NC    | North Carolina Department of Environment & Natural Resources  
North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission |
| ND    | North Dakota Game & Fish Department |
| OH    | Ohio Department of Natural Resources |
| OK    | Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation |
| OR    | Portland State University, Center for Lakes & Reservoirs |
| PA    | Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection |
| RI    | Rhode Island Coastal Resources & Management Council |
| SC    | South Carolina Department of Natural Resources |
| SD    | South Dakota Department of Game, Fish & Parks |
| TN    | Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency |
| TX    | Texas Parks & Wildlife Department |
| UT    | Utah Division of Wildlife Resources |
| VT    | Vermont Department of Environmental Protection |
| VA    | Virginia Department of Conservation & Recreation  
Virginia Department of Game & Inland Fish |
| WA    | Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife |
| WV    | West Virginia Division of Natural Resources |
| WI    | Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources |
| WY    | Wyoming Game & Fish Department |
| DC    | District of Columbia Department of Health |
Appendix D. Resources outlining safe handling of organisms in research projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>animals</td>
<td><a href="http://www.invasivespeciesinfo.gov/animals/whatyou.shtml">http://www.invasivespeciesinfo.gov/animals/whatyou.shtml</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Fish &amp; Wildlife hazard analysis and critical control point planning for natural resource management</td>
<td><a href="http://www.haccp-nrm.org/forms.asp">http://www.haccp-nrm.org/forms.asp</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosafety Clearing-house, international efforts to ensure safe handling of biological material.</td>
<td><a href="http://bch.biodiv.org/resources/resources.shtml">http://bch.biodiv.org/resources/resources.shtml</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning of boats and gear</td>
<td><a href="http://www.protectyourwaters.org/">http://www.protectyourwaters.org/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix E. Understanding the risks associated with nonindigenous species.

Reasons for concern

A nonindigenous organism is one moved beyond its native range, for example an organism transported to North America during European colonization or between watersheds within a state. We all interact with nonindigenous species because such organisms have provided and continue to provide value in numerous ways, including most of our food, fiber, pets and ornamental landscaping.

Nonindigenous species also represent the starting point in a chain of events that can lead to invasive species (Figure 1). Invasive species cause economic, social or ecological harm. Thus, nonindigenous species represent a key element in the risk of biological invasions.

![Figure 1. Steps in the process generating invasive species.](image)

Defining the risk

Risk involves two main components: the likelihood that a problem will occur and the potential magnitude of the problem. For nonindigenous species, risk can be defined as 1) the likelihood that an organism will be introduced, become established, which means it creates a self-sustaining population, and cause harm and 2) the amount of harm it can cause.

The likelihood of nonindigenous species being introduced or spread has increased in the recent past in parallel with increased global trade and travel (Figure 2). Along with an increase in the number of introductions, we also have seen an increase in pathways or the ways that species can be introduced (Box 1). Important pathways span the range from accidental releases from industry, such as organisms in ballast water released during shipping to intentional releases by individuals, such as release of a pet.
Figure 2. Rate of new invasions by marine invertebrates and seaweeds in the U.S. coastal zone from 1790 to 1999 (374 total invasions).\textsuperscript{2, 3}

Box 1. An example of increased pathways for introductions.\textsuperscript{2}

- In 1800, \textit{Carcinus maenas}, the European shore crab, could travel across oceans as a “hitchhiker” on ships’ hulls and ballast rocks.
- By 1900, these crabs could also travel in ballast water, as hitchhikers on imported oysters and as imported food items.
- By the year 2000, ballast rocks were no longer being used, but six new pathways appeared: bait, aquarium pets, specimens used in schools, specimens used in research, hitchhikers in shipments of lobsters and hitchhikers on oil production platforms.

The average likelihood that an introduced organism becomes established and causes harm is low. Some scientists estimate that 5–20\% of introduced species become established and 5–20\% of established organisms become invasive.\textsuperscript{4} This “rule” is not hard and fast, with selective breeding and other situations creating more or fewer invasions than predicted. Regardless of the actual rate, more introductions translate into more invasions.

Introduced and established organisms can overgrow or out-compete native organisms, alter ecological systems, or foul water intakes, buoys or other structures (Box 2). In general, the costs of biological invasions are poorly known, hard to calculate and difficult to evaluate relative to direct economic benefits derived from nonindigenous species (Box 3). Although disputed, the direct economic and environmental costs of biological invasions in the United States have been estimated at over $100 billion per year, and another study lists invasions as second only to habitat loss in pressuring threatened and endangered species.\textsuperscript{14, 15} Indirect costs, such as
changes to biodiversity, ecosystem functioning or aesthetics, are not included in these estimates.

In summary, we are introducing an increasing number of nonindigenous organisms. This increased number of introductions is likely to lead to an increased number of invasions. In total, invasions cause substantial harm even when harm is defined narrowly. Some introductions and invasions come from activities similar to those undertaken by participants in science and engineering fairs, with escape or release of a few organisms from aquaria being two key examples. In addition to intentional interactions, science and engineering fair projects can interact with nonindigenous species accidentally. Participants have the opportunity and responsibility to recognize and address the risks of introducing or spreading nonindigenous species.

Box 2. Examples of damage caused by the accidental spread of nonindigenous organisms.2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9

- A tropical alga, *Caulerpa taxifolia*, exhibited an unexpected tolerance of cold after being accidentally released from a European aquarium into the northwest Mediterranean. Its tolerance of winter temperatures helped it blanket tens to hundreds of square kilometers of seafloor and out-compete nearshore plants and animals. There is evidence that this species alters the distribution and foraging of fish, including commercial species.

- Zebra mussels blanket boats, docks, intake and outflow pipes, and other hard surfaces in densities up to 700,000 per square meter. Monitoring and removing this nonindigenous organism costs tens of millions of dollars annually. In addition, zebra mussels smother and out-compete native mussels, many of which are in danger of extinction.

- *Hydrilla verticillata* came into the United States as an aquarium plant. It escaped and spread. A square meter of *H. verticillata* can produce thousands of long-lived tubers that survive poor conditions and grow when conditions are right. In addition, pieces of this submerged freshwater plant tangle in outboard motors or boat trailers. Unintentional transport of such pieces has spread this plant through many states, where it has out-competed other submerged plants and killed fish and other aquatic life by stopping dissolved oxygen from mixing into some waterbodies. The plant is very difficult to eliminate, and around a hundred million dollars will be spent on control measures each year for the foreseeable future.

Box 3. An example of difficulties associated with evaluating benefits and costs related to nonindigenous species and biological invasions.10, 11, 12, 13

- The Everglades National Park was created to preserve a unique, subtropical ecosystem for this and future generations.

- Preserving the Everglades becomes challenging due to pressures like altered water flow, pollution and loss of habitat.

- In addition, waters in and around the Everglades contain 20–25 species of nonindigenous fish that have become established.

- Scientists have not documented extinctions of native species or dramatic ecological shifts, but they have documented aggression toward native fish by nonindigenous fish and, during dry periods, reduced numbers of native fishes in refuges containing nonindigenous fishes.

- Experts from the United States Geological Survey and Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission estimate that the majority of nonindigenous species in and around the Everglades were released from aquaria or escaped from aquaculture facilities that produce ornamental fish.

- Culture of nonindigenous fish supports a multi-billion dollar industry that provides significant enjoyment for hobbyists and indirect benefits, such as reduced pressure on stocks of wild fish.

- In contrast to these benefits, what costs arise from adding altered biodiversity to other challenges to preserving the Everglades?
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